Twelfth special session
Item 9 of the provisional agenda*

REVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS AND DECISIONS
ADOPTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY AT ITS TENTH SPECIAL SESSION

Letter dated 19 April 1982 from the Permanent Representatives
of Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway and Sweden to the United
Nations addressed to the Secretary-General

We have the honour to transmit to you herewith a working paper stating the
views of the five Nordic countries on the United Nations study on the relationship
between disarmament and development (A/36/356, annex). The working paper stresses
the need for an effective follow-up of the recommendations contained in the study
by Member States and the United Nations system.

We would be grateful if the working paper could be circulated as an official
document of the twelfth special session of the General Assembly, devoted to
disarmament, under item 9 of the provisional agenda, and be brought to the
attention of the Preparatory Committee at its fourth session.

(Signed) Wilh. ULRICHSSEN
Ambassador, Permanent
Representative of Denmark
to the United Nations

(Signed) IlkkaL PASTINEN
Ambassador, Permanent
Representative of Finland
to the United Nations

(Signed) Tómas A. TOMASSON
Ambassador, Permanent
Representative of Iceland
to the United Nations

(Signed) Tom VRAALSEN
Ambassador, Permanent
Representative of Norway
to the United Nations

(Signed) Anders THUNBORG
Ambassador, Permanent
Representative of Sweden
to the United Nations

* A/36/49, para. 18.
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ANNEX

Working paper on the relationship between disarmament and development
submitted by Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway and Sweden

1. The conviction that military preparations of the present-day scope and
duration are an economic burden of increasing weight was one of the underlying
reasons behind the joint initiative of Denmark, Finland, Norway and Sweden in
proposing, in what became resolution 32/88 A, an in-depth, forward-looking and
policy-oriented study by the United Nations of the relationship between disarmament
and development. The report which was a result of this study (A/36/356, annex) was
based on the most comprehensive study yet undertaken by the United Nations in this
field. The study was conducted under the chairmanship of Sweden. In addition,
Norway participated in the Group of Governmental Experts on the Relationship
between Disarmament and Development which prepared the report. Research projects
were commissioned in Finland, Norway and Sweden.

2. The Governments of Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway and Sweden are of the
opinion that the release of resources from military purposes through disarmament
represents an important potential development reserve in both industrialized and
developing countries. However, the transfer of resources for economic and social
development in developing countries must not be made contingent upon progress in
disarmament and reductions in military budgets. One of the merits of the report is
that it constructively projects both development and disarmament in the perspective
of restoring global economic growth and closing the appalling gap between
industrialized and developing countries, with a view to establishing a new
international economic order.

3. The continued and unabated accumulation of armaments constitutes a growing
danger for world peace and security. As stated in the report, there also exists an
increasing range of non-military challenges to the security problems of nations
which cannot be rationally met by a race for arms. The Nordic Governments
therefore note with satisfaction that the report concentrates on the dynamic
interaction between disarmament, development and the security problems of nations
and the world. The considered political view — unanimously expressed in the
study's concluding chapter — that the world can either continue to pursue the arms
race with characteristic vigour or move consciously and with deliberate speed
toward a more stable and balanced social and economic development within a more
sustainable international economic and political order — is of utmost importance.
The relevance of this conclusion should be regarded in the light of recent
experiences both in the field of international development co-operation and global
negotiations and in the disarmament field.
Follow-up of the recommendations contained in the report of
the Group of Governmental Experts on the Relationship between
Disarmament and Development

4. The Nordic Governments draw attention to the nine recommendations contained in
the study (A/36/356, annex, para. 426; see also the appendix below) with a view to
promoting an effective follow-up and possible decisions by the General Assembly at
its second special session devoted to disarmament. The recommendations represent
guidelines for the short and long-term work of Member States and the United Nations
in this field. In this working paper the Nordic Governments draw attention to the
actions to be taken by the General Assembly at its second special session devoted
disarmament as a follow-up to the recommendations.

I

5. One of the study's tasks was to establish a reliable data base on the present-
day use of material and human resources for military purposes. The Nordic
Governments deplore that this task proved impossible. It is known that most
countries, among them some major participants in the arms race, do not give
detailed information or analyses of resources devoted to their military effort.

6. It has become evident that the international community, in its disarmament
related activities, has a great need for a reliable and acceptable base of data on
military transfers. The United Nations should publish, through the United Nations
Disarmament Centre, scientifically comparable data on all countries, as well as the
necessary scientific analysis and commentary. The Nordic Governments welcome in
this regard the development of the international system, adopted by the General
Assembly, for the standardized reporting of military expenditures. In the view of
the Nordic Governments, such data can be made public without compromising national
security interests, and would contribute to increased confidence among nations.
The Nordic Governments share the study's conclusions that a steady reduction of
secrecy would contribute to breaking the vicious circle in which the arms race in
one country unreasonably and excessively reinforces the arms race in another.

7. The Secretary-General, assisted by qualified experts, should be entrusted with
the task of investigating the nature and amount of information, and in what form,
that Governments should be invited to supply to the United Nations to fill the
above-mentioned gaps of knowledge in order to strengthen the disarmament-
development perspective. In this context, account should also be taken of the
proposals made in the report of the Group of Experts on the Reduction of Military
Budgets, prepared between February 1981 and March 1982 (A/S-12/7).

II

8. Concerning recommendation 1, it is obvious that the central government - as
the single purchaser of goods and services in the military sector - should also
bear the responsibility for carrying out analyses on the economic and social
consequences, nationally and internationally, of its military spending, and for
informing the public about them. The Nordic Governments share the view that the
major military powers should assume a special responsibility in this regard. The

/...
provision of such data to the United Nations, e.g., by updating at regular intervals the study of the economic and social consequences of the arms race, would also strengthen international confidence and the capacity of the United Nations effectively to deal with the relationship between disarmament and development. The Centre for Disarmament and the United Nations Institute for Disarmament Research would naturally assume a central role in the collection, analysis and dissemination of such information.

III

9. It may also be appropriate for Governments to co-operate with scientific institutions, which have the necessary access to national and international data, to develop and implement methods for identifying and analysing the benefits that would be derived from the reallocation of military resources, following disarmament, to address economic and social problems at the national level and to contribute towards reducing the gap in income between industrial nations and the developing world and establishing the new international economic order. The United Nations should develop and implement such methods and make them known to the Governments of Member States.

IV

10. In the view of the Nordic Governments there is a need for planning and preparation for smoothing the transition from military to civilian production following disarmament. All Governments, particularly those of the major military Powers, should undertake to create the necessary prerequisites, including preparation and planning, for the conversion of resources freed by disarmament measures to civilian purposes, especially to meet urgent economic and social needs, particularly in the developing countries. The Nordic Governments also support the proposal that Governments should make conversion experiences internationally available by submitting reports from time to time to the General Assembly on possible solutions to conversion problems.

11. The Nordic Governments suggest that the matter of conversion should be included in the agenda of the General Assembly at regular intervals, e.g., every four or five years, starting with the fortieth session of the Assembly in 1985. In preparation for the Assembly's deliberation, the Centre for Disarmament and the United Nations Institute for Disarmament Research should be given the task of exploring methods for the collection, reporting and dissemination of information on experiences in conversion.

V

12. The Nordic Governments would welcome a more thorough and effective integration of the disarmament-development perspective in the activities of the United Nations system and its incorporation in the working programme of the United Nations organs and specialized agencies.
VI

13. Possible institutional arrangements for the transfer of resources, freed through disarmament, to social and economic development in developing countries need to be studied in the light of the capabilities of existing international institutions for the transfer of financial resources for development. In the Nordic Governments' view a disarmament dividend approach to financing an eventual disarmament fund for development is most in accord with the United Nations conceptions of disarmament and development, and is the most feasible and politically acceptable alternative.

14. The Nordic Governments support the recommendation that further investigation of the administrative and technical modalities of a fund and their political acceptability is necessary. Such an investigation could be executed by the Centre for Disarmament and the United Nations Institute for Disarmament Research.
APPENDIX

Recommendations contained in the report of the Group of Governmental Experts on the Relationship between Disarmament and Development

The Group recommends that:

1. All Governments, but particularly those of the major military Powers, should prepare assessments of the nature and magnitude of the short- and long-term economic and social costs attributable to their military preparations so that their general public be informed of them.

2. Governments should urgently undertake studies to identify and to publicize the benefits that would be derived from the reallocation of military resources in a balanced and verifiable manner, to address economic and social problems at the national level and to contribute toward reducing the gap in income that currently divides the industrialized nations from the developing world and establishing a new international economic order.

3. Governments should undertake a fuller and more systematic compilation and dissemination of data on the military use of human and material resources and military transfers, taking into account the needs of the United Nations in terms of resolution 35/142 B (on a standardized system of reporting on military expenditures).

4. The disarmament-development perspective elaborated in this report should be incorporated in a concrete and practical way in the ongoing activities of the United Nations system.

5. Governments should create the necessary prerequisites, including preparations and, where appropriate, planning, to facilitate the conversion of resources freed by disarmament measures to civilian purposes, especially to meet urgent economic and social needs, in particular, in the developing countries.

6. Governments should consider making the results of experience and preparations in their respective countries available by submitting reports from time to time to the General Assembly on possible solutions to conversion problems.

7. Further consideration should be given to establishing an international disarmament fund for development and that the administrative and technical modalities of such a fund be further investigated by the United Nations with due regard to the capabilities of the agencies and institutions currently responsible for the international transfer of resources.

8. The Secretary-General should take appropriate action, through the existing interagency consultative mechanism of the Administrative Committee on Co-ordination, to foster and co-ordinate the incorporation of the disarmament and development perspective in the programmes and activities of the United Nations system.
9. The Department of Public Information of the United Nations Secretariat and other relevant United Nations organs and agencies, while continuing to emphasize the danger of war – particularly nuclear war – should give increased emphasis in their disarmament-related public information and education activities to the social and economic consequences of the arms race and to the corresponding benefits of disarmament.